TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

PLANNING BOARD MEETING

Town Hall

1 Heady Street

Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

April 1, 2025 6:15 p.m. - 6:51 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Steven Kessler, Chairperson

Thomas A. Bianchi, Vice-Chairperson

David Douglas, Member

Nora Hildinger, Member

Kevin Kobadsa, Member

Peter McKinley, Member

Jeff Rothfeder, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Chris Kehoe, AICP, Director of Planning
Michael Cunningham, Esq., Deputy Town Attorney
Heather LaVarnway, CNU-A, Planner
Chris Lapine, P.E., Engineer

1	April 1, 2025
2	(The board meeting commenced at 6:15 p.m.)
3	MR. STEVEN KESSLER: All right, we're
4	going to get going. Welcome to the April 1st
5	planning board meeting. Please rise for the
6	pledge.
7	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
8	flag of the United States of America and to the
9	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
10	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
11	all.
12	MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Chris, roll,
13	please.
14	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Mr. Kobadsa?
15	MR. KEVIN KOBADSA: Here.
16	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Hildinger?
17	MS. NORA HILDINGER: Here.
18	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Rothfeder?
19	MR. JEFFREY ROTHFEDER: Here.
20	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kessler?
21	MR. KESSLER: Here.
22	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Bianchi?
23	MR. THOMAS BIANCHI: Here.
24	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Douglas?

1	Page April 1, 2025
2	MR. DAVID DOUGLAS: Here.
3	MR. KEHOE: Welcome back. Mr. McKinley?
4	MR. PETER MCKINLEY: Here.
5	MR. KESSLER: Excellent. All right, we
6	have no changes to the agenda this evening. Can I
7	please have a motion to adopt the minutes from
8	our March 4th meeting?
9	MR. BIANCHI: So moved.
10	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
11	MR. MCKINLEY: Second.
12	MS. HILDINGER: Second.
13	MR. KESSLER: And, and on the question?
14	All in favor?
15	MULTIPLE: Aye.
16	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? All right. First
17	item under correspondence is a letter dated
18	February 10, 2025 from James Annicchiarico,
19	requesting
20	MR. KEHOE: Annicchiarico.
21	MR. KESSLER: Thank you, Annicchiarico,
22	for the third six-month time extension for
23	preliminary plat approval for the Pomona
24	Development, LLC subdivision located on the south

1	April 1, 2025
2	side of Revolutionary Road, south of Eaton Lane.
3	Mr. Bianchi?
4	MR. BIANCHI: Mr. Chairman, I'll move
5	that we adopt resolution number 7-25. I have a
6	question here. It says five month extension, and
7	then on the letter it says six months. Is it five
8	months?
9	MR. KEHOE: He asked for six months. You
10	gave him one month last time and five months this
11	time.
12	MR. BIANCHI: Oh, so it's five more
13	months?
14	MR. KEHOE: Yeah.
15	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. So I, I, I move that
16	we adopt resolution number 7-25 as written,
17	granting it.
18	MR. KESSLER: Second please.
19	MS. HILDINGER: Second.
20	MR. KESSLER: And on the question, all
21	in favor?
22	MULTIPLE: Aye.
23	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Next item under
24	resolutions, the application of Briga Enterprises

1 April 1, 2025 2 3 4 5 So, Mr. Kobadsa? 6 7 8 speak, sorry. 9 10 11 about the resolution. 12 13 14 15 MR. SINSABAUGH: 16 MR. KESSLER: Then stand up. 17 18 19 20

21

22

2.3

24

Inc. and Bilotta Realty of Westchester Inc. for amended site plan approval for a 2,400 square foot storage building located at 2099 Albany Post Road, drawings latest revised February 18, 2025. MR. KOBADSA: Didn't Brian want to MR. CUNNINGHAM: So Brian, the board's ready for a vote unless you have something to say MR. KESSLER: Oh, you do? MR. BRIAN SINSABAUGH: Sorry. MR. KESSLER: Oh, okay. Yeah.

MR. SINSABAUGH: All right. Good evening Chairman and members of the board. My name is Brian Sinsabaugh. I'm an attorney with Zarin and Steinmetz on behalf of the applicant. Before you go into vote, I just had a couple of comments with regard to the proposed resolution. First, with regard to material storage within the proposed building, well, sorry, I should say the

1

2 building that's proposed for approval tonight. That is condition number five that you have on 3 here, or sorry, condition 10. It makes a 4 5 statement as it's used for vehicle storage, automotive or small engineer repair and similar 6 7 mechanical storage is not permitted as part of 8 the application. I just want to state for the 9 board, with regard to the use of this, there are, 10 there are, there is some equipment that is stored 11 in here overnight on occasion with regard to the 12 actual use of the storage yard. So you'll have 13 like, say, lifts other items that are used to 14 move the materials that are in that storage yard 15 sometimes stored within that structure. That's 16 partially just because of weather, inclement 17 weather, or also on instances with regard to just 18 security of having those items within a store 19 building. The building classification, we 20 verified with building department is made to 21 allow for storage of equipment and vehicles. 22 We're not proposing personal vehicles. We're not 2.3 proposing vehicle storage per se. We're just 24 asking with regard to equipment that, that be

2.3

permitted. We don't want to find ourselves in a situation where we're coming back before the town having allegedly violated this condition for having certain equipment that pertains to that storage yard and the uses.

MR. KESSLER: And so where's this equipment now?

MR. SINSABAUGH: So the equipment, for now, I guess it's stored outdoors pending your approval on this. But with regard to the equipment moving forward, we'd like to have it, have the option at least to be able to store it within the building without having any concern of violating a condition.

MR. KESSLER: And, and the types of vehicles, again that you're proposing per perhaps to be in there?

MR. SINSABAUGH: I believe that there is a forklift. There may be another, some other equipment like that is used to move some of the items that they do have in the storage yard. But, and then on top of that, other items that are stored in there are basically just materials, but

1 April 1, 2025 2 I don't believe that that pertains to this particular provision. 3 4 MR. KEHOE: Well, I, I, -- and Chris and 5 MC, you can chime in too, but we, they definitely don't want automotive or small engine repair 6 7 happening in there. 8 MR. SINSABAUGH: Yeah, we don't have 9 any. I mean, there's no repairmen that we have on 10 site. We don't do any repair within it. 11 MR. KEHOE: Right. So, so that 12 prohibition can remain. 13 MR. SINSABAUGH: Yes, the repair is 14 fine. Um, and I think it's just the fact that, 15 and I was just looking back at a message that I 16 have on here, a forklift and a loader are two 17 items, that at times will go in there. But 18 they're, those both deal with the mason yard. 19 They don't deal with anything that's for -- on 20 the other portion of the property that's not 21 before you this evening.

22

2.3

24

MR. KOBADSA: Are forklifts and, what's it called, your frontend loaders, are they technically considered motor vehicles? Could this

	fr 1
1	Page 1 April 1, 2025
2	be amended to state motor vehicles?
3	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'd have to look at the
4	definition in the code and I don't even know if -
5	- I doubt we even define motor vehicle.
6	MR. DOUGLAS: My guess is they are,
7	because years back, the planning board had
8	addressed the definition of what a quote motor
9	vehicle was. And it was pretty broad covered just
10	about anything on anything with wheels and a
11	steering, you know, steering wheel and wheels.
12	MR. KOBADSA: Okay.
13	MR. CUNNINGHAM: So I, I think it could
14	be motorized equipment that's ancillary to the,
15	to the wholesale operation. I think Brian, is
16	that what you're asking for?
17	MR. SINSABAUGH: Yeah, that's, that
18	would be good I mean, we'd be, we'd be
19	agreeable to that language.
20	MR. BIANCHI: So no passenger vehicles
21	in other words, no
22	MR. SINSABAUGH: No. But stuff like
23	MR. BIANCHI: Just
24	MR. SINSABAUGH: anything that's

1	Page 1. April 1, 2025
2	
	ancillary, like he said, anything that's used
3	MR. BIANCHI: contractor vehicles?
4	MR. SINSABAUGH: Yeah, correct.
5	MR. KESSLER: And you're basically
6	saying that's a forklift and frontend loaders.
7	MR. SINSABAUGH: Those, those are the
8	two items, yes, that I've been informed of.
9	MR. KESSLER: So do we like Mike's
10	language?
11	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Of equipment ancillary
12	to the wholesale operation and then he's also
13	asking for the retail language to be changed, for
14	retail sales to wholesale, wholesale operations,
15	right?
16	MR. KESSLER: From retail to wholesale?
17	MR. SINSABAUGH: Correct. Retail to
18	Wholesale.
19	MR. KESSLER: And where, where is that
20	word listed here?
21	MR. SINSABAUGH: There are three
22	different sections within this. It'd be the
23	fourth, whereas on the first page makes reference
24	to a retail operation.

	Dago 1
1	Page 1 April 1, 2025
2	MR. KESSLER: What, where, one, two
3	MR. SINSABAUGH: The top of page four,
4	the first, first line on the top of page four and
5	the first line in condition eight at the top of
6	page five.
7	MR. KESSLER: I would think and correct
8	me if I'm wrong, wholesale is probably less
9	onerous than retail?
10	MR. CUNNINGHAM: I would think, right.
11	It's less intensive really
12	MR. KESSLER: Yes.
13	MR. CUNNINGHAM: with who would be
14	coming to the site.
15	MR. KESSLER: Anybody have any
16	objections to changing wholesale to retail? Uh,
17	retail to wholesale? No.
18	MR. ROTHFEDER: If that, that's
19	accurate. I'm assuming that's accurate, right?
20	MR. CUNNINGHAM: That, that's the
21	applicant's representation. It's accurate. So
22	we've
23	MR. ROTHFEDER: That's what you guys do?
24	MR. SINSABAUGH: That's, that's correct,

2 yes. That's correct.

2.3

MR. ROTHFEDER: It's less intrusive.

MR. KESSLER: Okay. And then amended also then with Michael's language in terms of vehicles that are consistent with the operation of the wholesale -- consistent with the wholesale operation.

MR. KEHOE: Do you, Mike, do you want to say equipment or do you want to say vehicles ancillary?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I think it could be, I think equipment would really be safe because if there's something we're not thinking of right now on beyond a forklift or loader, I think just equipment ancillary to the wholesale operation.

Okay. Any other concerns with the resolution that you have?

MR. SINSABAUGH: The one last item that I do have is with regard to the material storage. And this is in reference to condition five. It states that there should be removal of material storage from the front yard, relocation to the storage yard as part of the updated zoning table

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

and site plan drawing. And I know that that's currently shown on the area of the parking lot that previously was used as part of the driving range. So there is a portion of that paved parking area that does, is shown on their site plan as having pavers in that location. We did receive a letter from the Montrose Fire Department with regard to site circulation currently as it exists today, stating that they're okay with the way the site circulation was on the site based on a, a review of coming to the site and taking a look around. I just don't know if -- what I'm asking is whether or not this could have an addition additional language shown on here in terms of the relocation of the material so as to not impose a burden on the site circulation as it currently exists.

MR. KOBADSA: Your concern is relocating this material to the storage yard could impact the circulation that exists now, right?

MR. SINSABAUGH: Correct. Because based on the current configuration of the site,

Montrose Fire Department conducted a site visit

2.3

and based on that site visit determined that site circulation was okay. I just in, in terms of bringing that, those materials into the site out of an area that already was paved, was already moved and set for parking of vehicles at one point in time, that there's a possibility, I'm not saying that's a definite possibility, but there is a possibility that it could impose some impact on site circulation and they might have to maneuver a few things around. But I don't want this to cause any issues with regard to site circulation, which is I know a concern of the board.

MR. KEHOE: But I, I think that point of the condition is that you can't have the material storage in the front yard.

MR. SINSABAUGH: Mm-hmm.

MR. KEHOE: So it's got to be moved. The question is where it gets moved to.

MR. SINSABAUGH: Correct.

MR. KOBADSA: So where would you move it if it's not in the front yard?

MR. SINSABAUGH: That's the, that's the

1 April 1, 2025 question that we have in terms of -- I just 2 looking at the site plan, I think that there is 3 4 some maneuverability, I know there's two parking spaces that's over there and it could be shifted 5 in that same location without impacting any of 6 7 the site circulation elsewhere if we just relocate those parking spaces. So that's 8 9 something we're going to look into. 10 MR. KOBADSA: But --11 MR. KESSLER: But, but ultimately the 12 way it's written, it's, you guys are going to 13 review this and decide where it should move to 14 and what's -- where it's appropriately, 15 appropriate to go. 16 MR. KEHOE: Yes. The, the removal and 17 moving of the stuff is to the director of 18 technical services' satisfaction. 19 MR. KESSLER: Okay. 20 MR. KEHOE: So, but I think what we're

2.3 MR. KESSLER: Right.

yard.

21

22

24

MR. KEHOE: So where it ends up once

saying is it's got to be moved from the front

1	April 1, 2025
2	it's out of the front yard is up to Mike
3	Preziosi.
4	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right. That's, that's
5	what the concern is, right? Not, not stating
6	precisely where it's going, but that it needs to
7	be removed is okay?
8	MR. CHRIS LAPINE: Correct. It's a
9	compliance issue at this point in time.
10	MR. ROTHFEDER: Sorry?
11	MR. LAPINE: it's a compliance issue,
12	because it's sitting in the front yard, so
13	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right, no, it's got to
14	be moved.
15	MR. LAPINE: So it's got to be moved.
16	MR. ROTHFEDER: That, that's understood.
17	But I guess your question is not to state
18	precisely where it's going at this point?
19	MR. SINSABAUGH: Well, yeah, I mean I,
20	I'm, I'm assuming, I can't say for certain as an
21	attorney. I, I'm not, not an engineer, but the
22	relocation of these materials on site, whether or
23	not that has any impact on the site circulation,
24	because the review based on that Montrose Fire

2.3

Department conducted was based upon those materials being located where they are today.

MR. ROTHFEDER: Right.

MR. SINSABAUGH: So, what I don't want to do is find ourselves in a position where we can't immediately re relocate these items until they may be sold or there may be some other configuration that could be done, that we find ourselves in violation of the condition.

MR. LAPINE: So, one of our comments was to provide a site circulation vehicle maneuvering plan. So when you are relocating this material, that can be included as part of that plan. You still have to submit that plan to satisfy our comments that were generated.

MR. SINSABAUGH: Understood, understood.

MR. LAPINE: So they may have been on site, but we still haven't seen a site circulation plan. So we can't, we can't say that we've addressed that comment. There's been nothing submitted to address that. And I think as part of that, you have to relocate this material. And you may, you may find when you're doing your

1 April 1, 2025 2 vehicle maneuvering plan that you may have to also relocate some of your storage bins in order 3 4 to accommodate truck turning movements. 5 MR. SINSABAUGH: Okay. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, if it's just 6 7 relocation to a compliant area of the site, is 8 that, does that satisfy it rather than saying a 9 specific --10 MR. SINSABAUGH: I mean, I quess to the, 11 I was looking for like mostly to moving to a site 12 that's to the satisfaction of the director of 13 technical services, only on the basis of the fact 14 that this was already a area for parking of 15 vehicles, even though it was in the front yard 16 and that storage is already in that location. 17 It's just -- it's how we're looking at it. 18 MR. KESSLER: The point is it needs to 19 come more out of the front yard and the 20 [unintelligible] [00:14:40] area is where it goes 21 will be subject to the review --

circulation to make sure that it all works.

22

2.3

24

MR. LAPINE: Correct. Mm-hmm.

MR. KESSLER: -- together with the

	Page 2
1	April 1, 2025
2	MR. LAPINE: Correct. Exactly. It, it's
3	place it somewhere and then show your circulation
4	around it. That's all we're asking.
5	MR. SINSABAUGH: Okay.
6	MR. ROTHFEDER: So why does this have to
7	change at all? I guess
8	MR. SINSABAUGH: Well, the, the reason I
9	was requesting is just in terms of the operation
10	of the site. There, there are, there's a decent
11	amount of material that's in that location. So
12	moving it out of the front yard, whether that
13	then becomes an issue of site circulation as
14	opposed to existing and continuing to exist in
15	the location where it is.
16	MR. KEHOE: But it can't exist in the
17	location that it's in.
18	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yeah. That, that
19	wouldn't be compliant with our zoning code.
20	MR. SINSABAUGH: Okay. All right.
21	MR. KEHOE: So it's got to go someplace
22	else.
23	MR. SINSABAUGH: Understood.

MR. KEHOE: Which understood will be

24

1	Page 2. April 1, 2025
2	determined through discussions with Seth.
3	MR. SINSABAUGH: Understood. Okay.
4	MR. LAPINE: Well, I, I think it's best
5	for the applicant to depict where they think it's
6	best for their needs, not the staff determining
7	how they should locate their [unintelligible]
8	[00:15:34]
9	MR. KEHOE: Well they're going to show
10	it and you're going to say whether you like it or
11	not.
12	MR. LAPINE: Yeah. Okay.
13	MR. SINSABAUGH: Yes. Yes. In the
14	storage yard.
15	MR. KEHOE: Okay.
16	MR. LAPINE: I didn't want to dictate
17	their business.
18	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Did you
19	MR. SINSABAUGH: I have nothing else.
20	That's it.
21	MR. KESSLER: All right. So, with those,
22	those changes that he was talking about, the
23	wholesale and
24	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And equipment that's

1	April 1, 2025
2	ancillary to the wholesale operation will be
3	allowed in the storage building.
4	MR. KOBADSA: All right. I'd like to
5	make a motion to adopt resolution 8-25, with the
6	changes discussed here changing retail to
7	wholesale and adding in equipment ancillary to
8	wholesale operation under number 10 for vehicles
9	allowed to be parked in the storage or the shed.
10	MR. KESSLER: Thank you. Second, second
11	please.
12	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
13	MR. MCKINLEY: Second.
14	MR. KESSLER: And on the question? All
15	in favor?
16	MULTIPLE: Aye.
17	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Thank you.
18	MR. SINSABAUGH: All right, thank you
19	very much.
20	MR. KESSLER: Okay, next item under
21	resolution. It's the application of Zachary Kamm,
22	on behalf of Kitzbuehel Realty for amended site
23	plan approval to convert the former ShopRite
24	store to a Floor and Decor store for property

1	April 1, 2025
2	located at 2094 East Main Street, drawings latest
3	revised March 20, 2025. Anybody here with the
4	applicant? Good evening.
5	MR. ZACHARY KAMM: Good evening Chairman
6	and members of the board. I don't have any
7	comments on the resolution.
8	MR. KESSLER: Oh, perfect. I like that.
9	So, and if there are no comments from staff or
10	the board, Mr. Douglas.
11	MR. DOUGLAS: Yeah, I make the motion
12	that we, on case number 25-4, that we, we grant
13	the application in accordance with the resolution
14	that's been drafted.
15	MR. KESSLER: That's resolution, is that
16	9-25, right? Is that the for the record?
17	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes.
18	MR. KESSLER: All right, second, please.
19	MS. HILDINGER: Second. And on the
20	question?
21	MR. ROTHFEDER: On the question, I'll be
22	voting against it. And just for the record, my
23	reasoning is that I don't think the applicant has
24	given sufficient consideration to adding solar

1	April 1, 2025
2	panels or EV charging stations, which at least
3	I've brought up for them to look into. And the
4	Westchester County Planning Board in their
5	assessment of the application also suggested that
6	they look into it.
7	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Thank you. So
8	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay, I don't, I join in
9	Mr. Rothfelder's thoughts. So we're on the
10	question. All in favor?
11	MULTIPLE: Aye.
12	MR. KESSLER: Opposed?
13	MR. ROTHFEDER: No.
14	MR. DOUGLAS: No.
15	MR. KESSLER: All right, poll the board
16	please.
17	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kobadsa?
18	MR. KOBADSA: I said aye.
19	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Hildinger?
20	MS. HILDINGER: Aye.
21	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Rothfeder?
22	MR. ROTHFEDER: No.
23	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Bianchi?
24	MR. BIANCHI: Yes.

	Daga 2
1	Page 2 April 1, 2025
2	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Douglas?
3	MR. DOUGLAS: No.
4	MR. KEHOE: Mr. McKinley?
5	MR. MCKINLEY: Yes.
6	MR. KEHOE: Chairman Kessler?
7	MR. KESSLER: Yes.
8	MR. KEHOE: The motion the resolution
9	passes, five to two.
10	MR. KAMM: Thank you.
11	MR. KESSLER: Okay. The final item this
12	evening, and it's a public hearing. It is the
13	application of George McCombe for preliminary and
14	final plat approval for a two-lot minor
15	subdivision of a two-acre parcel of property
16	located at 107 Mountain View Road, improvement
17	drawings latest revised February 20, 2025, plat
18	dated August 7, 2024. Good evening.
19	MR. KEITH STAUDOHAR: Good evening.
20	Keith Staudohar, Cronin Engineering. We're here
21	to represent the applicant, George McCombe. This
22	is a two-lot minor subdivision proposal at 107
23	Mountain View Road. Fairly straightforward,

there's no wetlands, there's no steep slopes.

24

1	April 1, 2025
2	There are only 12 trees that are proposed to come
3	down and we're planning on planting 13. All of
4	the things are good. We went, did our testing
5	with the health department. We have good soils
6	for the septics, so we're ready to move forward.
7	Hopefully we can close and have a resolution for
8	next month.
9	MR. KESSLER: Okay. But it, it is in the
10	watershed area, correct?
11	MR. STAUDOHAR: It is in the city
12	watershed, yes.
13	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
14	MR. STAUDOHAR: We went out, we went out
15	with the DEP to do the test soils.
16	MR. KESSLER: So, it's a public hearing.
17	Is there anybody that wishes to comment on this
18	application? Okay. Any, any comments from the
19	board?
20	MR. DOUGLAS: Do we have a, a
21	landscaping plan? I mean, I, I know what you
22	gave, what we saw tonight.
23	MR. STAUDOHAR: We, we have a yes, we
24	have a, it's SP-2.1.

	Page 2
1	April 1, 2025
2	MR. KEHOE: Yeah.
3	MR. DOUGLAS: I can't
4	MR. KEHOE: We do have a planting list.
5	Sugar maple, red oak, river birch.
6	MR. DOUGLAS: And what's, what's the
7	caliper size on those
8	MR. KEHOE: Twos.
9	MR. DOUGLAS: Can we do threes?
10	MR. STAUDOHAR: Sure.
11	MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you.
12	MR. STAUDOHAR: Absolutely.
13	MR. KESSLER: Again, this is the 13
14	trees we're talking about.
15	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yeah, I think there may,
16	there's the number of trees in, at the end of the
17	day will vary based on what Chris said earlier in
18	the work session. So it's at least 13. It's going
19	to be more probably.
20	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Any, any other
21	comments, staff of the board? If not, last call?
22	Nope.
23	MS. CATHERINE OAKLEY: Can I just ask a
24	question?

1	Page 2 April 1, 2025
2	MR. KESSLER: Sure. Come on up. I
3	MR. CUNNINGHAM: You have to come up to
4	the
5	MR. KESSLER: Yeah, sure. Come on up.
6	And name and address for the record, please.
7	MS. OAKLEY: Sure. Hi, my name is
8	Catherine
9	MR. KESSLER: No, wait, go to the
10	microphone, You've got to go to the microphone.
11	MS. OAKLEY: Hi, my name is Catherine
12	Oakley. I live at one 17 Mountain View Road. I'm
13	to the right of the subdivision. We've lived at
14	the home for 22 plus years, so, this is new to us
15	that they're subdividing. I guess my question is,
16	I, I think, I don't know enough, but is this
17	meeting just strictly just for the subdivision or
18	is it in addition to this house that's going to
19	be put on the property that we're seeing appear?
20	MR. KESSLER: We're approving the
21	subdivision and then they will get a building
22	permit to build the house.
23	MS. OAKLEY: Okay.
24	MR. KESSLER: That, that's the process.

	D 0/
1	Page 29 April 1, 2025
2	MS. OAKLEY: Okay, so
3	MR. KESSLER: So once we approve the
4	subdivision, then they have a buildable lot.
5	They'll go back to the town and ask for a,
6	building permit.
7	MR. KEHOE: Right. And just to be clear,
8	and Keith can answer this, there's no guarantee
9	that that's exactly the house that is presented
10	is necessarily going to be built there.
11	MR. KESSLER: Right.
12	MR. KEHOE: The planning board is not
13	approving that house.
14	MS. OAKLEY: Okay.
15	MR. KESSLER: Yeah.
16	MR. KESSLER: By them showing it, I'm
17	assuming that's the type of house they want to
18	build.
19	MS. OAKLEY: Okay.
20	MR. KEHOE: But the planning board
21	doesn't get involved in the, in the design of the
22	house.
23	MS. OAKLEY: Okay. Thank you.
24	MR. KEHOE: And then I think, I'm not

1	April 1, 2025
2	sure, adjoining neighbors would get noticed at
3	the time of the building permit being issued
4	MS. OAKLEY: Thank you.
5	MR. KEHOE: unless there are steep
6	slopes or wetland permits that have to go out.
7	But you can keep in touch with the building
8	department. We never know, this group, when the
9	house is going to be built, because once it's
10	through the planning board, it's done and it's in
11	the building department. Sometimes it takes a
12	long time. Sometimes it happens right away.
13	MS. OAKLEY: Okay. Thank you for that
14	clarification. We've never had to come. Like I
15	said, we live on a nice little 13
16	MR. BIANCHI: Did you have a concern
17	about
18	MS. OAKLEY: 13 home street, so this
19	was
20	MR. BIANCHI: Did you have a concern
21	about the house or I mean, you can raise it
22	if, if you
23	MS. OAKLEY: I mean, it, it is what it
24	is. I just don't want a monster of a house in my

home.

2.3

backyard. That's my concerns. And this isn't
obviously the forum for --

MR. KESSLER: So you are to the -MS. OAKLEY: I'm to the right of this

MR. KESSLER: Of the proposed home?

MS. OAKLEY: Yes. Yes. So the subdivision and then that's my home that I've lived in too for 22 plus years.

MR. KESSLER: So there'll be -- let's, let's just discuss what the requirements are in terms of, you know, setbacks here.

MR. KEHOE: It's a 30-foot side yard setback. The issue is the driveway turnaround is not required to be outside of that 30 foot setback. So you can see as you go up the driveway, you know that, that doesn't have to respect the 30 foot setback. There is some additional planting proposed over there and some existing planting that already exists that won't be removed. The planning board could require additional planting over there if you wanted that. If, if you thought that that would be

1	Page 3. April 1, 2025
2	beneficial or helpful. I mean, it's a very wooded
3	area. I mean, that's why we chose the area. I
4	mean, it's most of the property is two acre
5	property
6	MR. KESSLER: Right.
7	MS. OAKLEY: on our street. So this
8	is just another subdivision and
9	MR. KESSLER: Do you know how far your
10	house is from the property line?
11	MS. OAKLEY: I, I don't, because like I
12	said, I
13	MR. KESSLER: I mean roughly or
14	MS. OAKLEY: I don't want to be a pain
15	in the butt, but
16	MR. KESSLER: That's all right.
17	MS. OAKLEY: I just don't want it.
18	MR. KESSLER: You won't be the first
19	person that comes here.
20	MS. OAKLEY: I don't want it on my
21	property and I still want to have some privacy.
22	That's why I chose
23	MR. KESSLER: Well, there definitely
24	will be a 30-foot buffer on their property.

1	April 1, 2025
2	MS. OAKLEY: Yeah, that's why I chose
3	where I did to live.
4	MR. KESSLER: I'm just wondering how
5	much buffer you have, you know, from your house.
6	MR. STAUDOHAR: I don't know if it's on
7	that aerial Chris, or not, but
8	MR. KESSLER: What's it
9	MR. STAUDOHAR: There's an aerial on the
10	if you can zoom into the top corner. I don't
11	know if you can see. The house is, from what I
12	remember, the stone wall and the tree line is
13	basically the property line, right?
14	MS. OAKLEY: Yes. Correct.
15	MR. STAUDOHAR: No trees are coming down
16	off that, near that stone wall or property line.
17	If you do want to add a couple of privacy
18	MR. KEHOE: You've got to us the mic.
19	MR. KESSLER: Yeah, microphone please.
20	MR. STAUDOHAR: I forgot what I just
21	said.
22	MR. KOBADSA: You promised six inch
23	caliber.
24	MR. STAUDOHAR: The property line is

1	April 1, 2025
2	delineated with a stone wall and there's a whole
3	bunch of hedgerow trees along, on that stonewall.
4	They're probably not in great condition, but it
5	provides a buffer right now as how close the
6	house is to the property lines. Let me just take
7	a look.
8	MR. KESSLER: So your house is right
9	above where it says site?
10	MS. OAKLEY: Mm-hmm.
11	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yes.
12	MR. KESSLER: Their house?
13	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yes. That little gray up
14	and in, closer to the road, right.
15	MR. KESSLER: Mm-hmm. Yeah.
16	MR. STAUDOHAR: So I would say we're
17	probably at least 100 feet, 150 feet from the
18	house.
19	MR. KEHOE: We're trying, we're trying
20	to determine on the GIS. Because your question
21	is, I mean, there's, there's a 30 foot buffer to
22	the property line, then there's a certain amount
23	of property to your house. And we're just trying
24	to figure out what that total

1	April 1, 2025
2	MS. HEATHER LAVARNWAY: It'd be about
3	60.
4	MR. KEHOE: About 60.
5	MR. BIANCHI: And, and it looks like
6	there are trees on your property, at the property
7	line also.
8	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yes. That's what I was
9	saying.
10	MR. BIANCHI: Is that right?
11	MR. STAUDOHAR: There's about
12	MR. BIANCHI: On both sides?
13	MR. STAUDOHAR: There's a whole row of
14	trees on their common property line.
15	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. That that's, yeah.
16	MR. MCKINLEY: Keith, one other thing is
17	I don't think the houses are going to be in line
18	with one another either. I think yours is set
19	back a little bit more. Yeah,
20	MS. OAKLEY: It's going to be set behind
21	my home.
22	MR. MCKINLEY: Yeah.
23	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah.
24	MR. STAUDOHAR: We could shift it up 20

1	April 1, 2025
2	feet if you want.
3	MR. KESSLER: Is that, is that a
4	concern? That's your concern?
5	MR. STAUDOHAR: Well, your house is
6	actually closer to the road.
7	MS. OAKLEY: We kind of lose privacy,
8	but
9	MR. KESSLER: Okay, well, no, no, that's
10	what you, that's why it's a public hearing. Tell
11	us, tell us your concerns.
12	MS. OAKLEY: Like I said, I don't want
13	to be a pain.
14	MR. STAUDOHAR: All right. See right
15	across the road, it says Mountain View. That's
16	their house.
17	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah.
18	MR. KESSLER: Over by the M, okay, in
19	Mountain View. Yeah. Okay.
20	MR. STAUDOHAR: Right. And then SI is,
21	it's roughly where our house is.
22	MR. BIANCHI: Right.
23	MR. STAUDOHAR: So we're behind your
24	house. But from house to house, it's got to be

	Page 3'
1	April 1, 2025
2	MR. BIANCHI: No, it's not
3	MR. STAUDOHAR: 200 feet, 150 feet or
4	something, I'm just guessing. Right.
5	MR. KEHOE: Well, well from the existing
6	house.
7	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yes.
8	MR. KEHOE: The, the proposed house
9	MR. STAUDOHAR: Between, between the
10	houses, there's a whole bunch of trees. You can
11	see them.
12	MR. KESSLER: So, just roughly Keith
13	then, where would the front of the proposed house
14	be? Is it going to be like at the end of the E in
15	the word site?
16	MR. STAUDOHAR: No, it's going to be
17	MR. LAPINE: No.
18	MR. STAUDOHAR: by the S.
19	MR. LAPINE: Correct.
20	MR. KESSLER: The front, the what, the
21	front of the house?
22	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yeah. I mean between the
23	S in
24	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Microphone.

1	April 1, 2025
2	MR. STAUDOHAR: so a little closer to
3	those
4	MR. LAPINE: Chris, the need you
5	MR. KESSLER: Microphone, mic, mic, mic.
6	MR. STAUDOHAR: Got it. Sorry about
7	that.
8	MR. KESSLER: So the house is going to
9	be set well back is what you're saying?
10	MR. STAUDOHAR: It's back and it's
11	distance wise, it's, you know, a good 150 feet
12	between houses.
13	MR. KESSLER: But, but the, the, and,
14	and it's just to be clear, the front of the
15	proposed house is going to align, let's say with
16	the back of their house. Is that, is that what
17	you're now saying?
18	MR. STAUDOHAR: No.
19	MR. KEHOE: The, the, the house is going
20	to be more or less where the I is in site.
21	MR. KESSLER: Okay. So the front of the
22	house would be basically
23	MR. STAUDOHAR: Right above the
24	MR. KESSLER: aligned with the back

	Page 39
1	April 1, 2025
2	of their house?
3	MR. STAUDOHAR: Right above the SI,
4	right, Right above SI is is where the house is.
5	And it faces
6	MR. KOBADSA: The road.
7	MR. STAUDOHAR: the east.
8	MR. KOBADSA: This is the road to the
9	east.
10	MR. DOUGLAS: Can I, can I just add
11	some, some words of reassurance to you? I live in
12	a very similar situation, a nine person road in
13	the woods. You know, everything's set apart. And
14	I would, I, my closest house to me is probably
15	about 150, 200 feet away. It's set the same sort
16	of like front to back angle things that you'll
17	get plenty of privacy. You won't, after the first
18	month, you won't even notice the house. So if
19	that, if that helps, so. I'm not saying you want
20	the house there, I'm just, I'm just saying for
21	whatever, whatever it's worth. Yeah.
22	MR. KESSLER: But you're open to
23	considering some additional landscaping?
24	MR. STAUDOHAR: Sure.

1	Page 40 April 1, 2025
2	MR. KESSLER: In, in that, in that,
3	buffer area, I mean in the setback area?
4	MR. STAUDOHAR: I mean, I could propose
5	landscaping, but it's, it, it's going to be under
6	the existing tree line. You know, the tree's not
7	going to get enough sunlight or too, too shady
8	or, or, so we can plant stuff in there to fill in
9	some of the gaps.
10	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
11	MR. STAUDOHAR: If that makes any sense,
12	but there aren't many gaps on that line. But
13	we'll take a look.
14	MR. KEHOE: You're going to plant three
15	inch caliber trees? According to Jeff, you're
16	going to plant three inch.
17	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yes.
18	MR. KESSLER: That's what he agreed to.
19	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yes. Well, I mean,
20	listen, I can't get an eastern redwood in three
21	inch, I don't think, so.
22	MR. ROTHFEDER: That's true.
23	MR. STAUDOHAR: Right. So I'll get,
24	I'll, get minimum three and if I have a question,

	11200 /
1	Page 4 April 1, 2025
2	maybe I'll contact you through the planning
3	department, we can
4	MR. ROTHFEDER: I'd appreciate that.
5	Thank you.
6	MR. KEHOE: And then there's a, a
7	possibility of shortening the driveway a little,
8	Chris Lapine?
9	MR. LAPINE: Yeah. There is an
10	opportunity to shorten it if needed.
11	MR. STAUDOHAR: I mean, that's in the,
12	where we chose the spot, it sits nice out there
13	in the field, but we could probably, we, we may,
14	may be able to shift it 20 feet to the north. I
15	mean to the east.
16	MR. LAPINE: You're also dictated by
17	your foundation drains as well. So that's, that's
18	the other issue you have.
19	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yes.
20	MR. LAPINE: Because you don't have a
21	lot of slope there.
22	MR. KESSLER: Is there a reason why you
23	want to set the house that far back?
24	MR. STAUDOHAR: It just, it just felt

1 April 1, 2025

2.3

2 right, right where that is.

MR. KESSLER: It's what?

MR. STAUDOHAR: It felt right where we're showing it. It's, you know, it sits nicely. Like I said, we're only taking down 12 trees and most of those trees are really not good. If you look at the list of trees, they're fair at best, poor, dead.

MR. LAPINE: I think you also have some topography as in the rear of your property as well that hinders you from locating it back there as well.

MR. STAUDOHAR: Yes. Oh, yeah. We got, yeah. This, like I said, we do this a long time.

We, I, I place, I try to design it the best I can to make sense. It is what it is. If the, if the neighbor's looking for some screening, we can, we can provide that. We're not going to go crazy because there's already screen. But if you feel we should add an additional half dozen trees along the driveway or something, we would, we would consider that.

MS. OAKLEY: That's fine. Like I said --

1	Page 4 April 1, 2025
2	MR. KESSLER: Come on to the microphone
3	again, please.
4	MS. OAKLEY: Sorry. I mean that's fine.
5	Like I said, I think my biggest concern is the
6	size of that house that's going in.
7	MR. CUNNINGHAM: So, so also there are -
8	_
9	MS. OAKLEY: And that's not to be
10	determined.
11	MR. CUNNINGHAM: there are, I mean,
12	there are height limitations in our code and
13	there's a floor area ratio in our code. So at
14	most it could be two and a half stories or, or 35
15	feet.
16	MR. KESSLER: Right, 35 feet is the
17	maximum it could be.
18	MR. CUNNINGHAM: And they haven't asked
19	for any variances from the code. So, it's going
20	to be, the house is going to be compliant with
21	what's permitted for any other lot.
22	MR. STAUDOHAR: It's going to be nice.
23	It's going to be a nice addition to the
24	neighborhood.

1	Page 4 April 1, 2025
2	MS. OAKLEY: And, and I guess my other
3	comment too is we do live on a street with 13
4	houses that are basically all two acre property.
5	So is my street going to now become a 26 home
6	street as we're going to keep letting this
7	happen?
8	MR. KESSLER: Well, the, the zoning
9	there is R40?
10	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, it's one acre zoning.
11	MR. KESSLER: So it's one acre zoning.
12	So I mean, if, if somebody can properly subdivide
13	their lot into two distinct one acre lots,
14	technically they could, they could do that as
15	well.
16	MS. OAKLEY: Okay.
17	MR. KEHOE: But most of the lots are not
18	two acres in size. They, they, they may be, they
19	may appear to be bigger.
20	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
21	MR. KEHOE: But most of them can't be
22	subdivided.
23	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yes, that's true.

MR. KESSLER: Yeah. You could probably

24

1	April 1, 2025
2	go in, you know, you can probably come into, uh,
3	see Chris in the office and they'll show you, you
4	know, the size.
5	MS. OAKLEY: It's, like I said, I lived
6	there for 22 years. This is just all new.
7	MR. KESSLER: Yeah.
8	MS. OAKLEY: And I've never had to come
9	here before. All right. Thank you. And thank you
10	for all the information.
11	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
12	MR. LAPINE: Mr. Chairman, since
13	MR. KESSLER: Thank you for coming in.
14	MR. LAPINE: Since the property line has
15	some existing trees along there, maybe the
16	visibility concern is at the lower level from
17	zero to six feet. And maybe we can accomplish
18	what's being desired, maybe with some shrubs as
19	opposed to trees. That'll provide a similar
20	purpose.
21	MR. KESSLER: Okay. But how, how would
22	this get worked out with, you know, because once
23	it leaves here
24	MR. KEHOE: With a condition that the

1 April 1, 2025 2 final landscape plan will be worked out to the satisfaction of the various departments. 3 4 MR. KESSLER: Okay, so you'll put that in a resolution? 5 MR. KEHOE: You're actually issuing the 6 7 tree removal permit. 8 MR. KESSLER: Riaht. 9 MR. KEHOE: So as long as the applicant 10 doesn't remove any additional trees, there's no 11 additional permit for tree removals that would be 12 coming from the building department. But they 13 would be showing a landscape on this plan and 14 then you're going to sign the plan and then that 15 is exactly the landscape that has to be built 16 unless it's modified. And then depending on how 17 modified it is, it never happens, but it could 18 have to come back to you. But I, I don't think 19 they ever modify it down, they'd only modify it

> MR. KESSLER: Okay.

20

21

22

2.3

24

more.

What kind of trees MR. BIANCHI: currently exist along the property line that you pointed out before?

1	April 1, 2025
2	MR. STAUDOHAR: Well, I don't have all
3	the numbers, but there's a lot of sugar maples.
4	MR. BIANCHI: Are they evergreens?
5	They're not evergreens.
6	MR. STAUDOHAR: There's no, there's
7	really no, not many evergreens at all. Right?
8	MS. OAKLEY: No.
9	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yeah.
10	MR. BIANCHI: Well that's probably one
11	of your concerns is that during the winter time
12	you're going to have a wider view than you
13	normally would in the spring
14	MR. STAUDOHAR: Well, to Chris's point -
15	_
16	MR. BIANCHI: and the summer.
17	MR. STAUDOHAR: To Chris's point,
18	Lapine, Mr. Lapine, we could put in six foot high
19	evergreens instead of trees.
20	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah. I would think that's
21	if you do anything, that's what you'd want to
22	do.
23	MR. LAPINE: Correct.
24	MR. KEHOE: Right. So the final details

	Page 48
1	April 1, 2025
2	of the landscape plan will be worked out.
3	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Okay.
4	MR. STAUDOHAR: Great. Thank you very
5	much.
6	MR. KESSLER: We'll do that.
7	MS. OAKLEY: Okay. Thank you.
8	MR. KESSLER: Okay. Thanks for coming.
9	So any other comments from the board?
10	MR. ROTHFEDER: No.
11	MR. KESSLER: So, if not, Peter?
12	MR. MCKINLEY: Mr. Chairman, I move to
13	make a motion to close the public hearing and
14	have staff prepare a resolution for PB 2024-2 for
15	the property, property located at 107 Mountain
16	View Road.
17	MR. KESSLER: Second, please.
18	MR. DOUGLAS: Second.
19	MR. KESSLER: And on the question? All
20	in favor?
21	MULTIPLE: Aye.
22	MR. KESSLER: Opposed? Okay, Mr.
23	Kobadsa?
24	MR. KOBADSA: All righty. the time is 6

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the Planning Board meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on April 1, 2025 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: April 16, 2025

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669

New York, NY 10003